# Granular Instrumental Variables Gabaix & Koijen

Discussion - Minnesota Asset Pricing Conference May 2019

Erik Loualiche

# **Motivation**

How to estimate a demand (or supply) curve

$$Y = \beta X + \epsilon$$

- $\blacksquare$  Assume  $\epsilon \perp X$
- $\blacksquare$  Or find instrument z such that
  - ▶  $z \perp \epsilon$ : exogeneity
  - ▶ but  $z \pm X$ : relevance

Simple idea: use variation from idiosyncratic shocks

- By construction idiosyncratic shocks are orthogonal to aggregate conditions: **exogeneity**
- Find idiosyncratic shocks such that they are **relevant**
- Granularity: large market participants have idiosyncratic shocks that are both exogenous and relevant

# **Discussion**

# Easy criticism: free "lunch" flavor

- We have shocks that are large for one condition
- But happen to be small with respect to another ...

#### **Outline limitations**

- Main result is very general: only interesting if it's not a tautology
- Understand exactly where it bites: highlight its limitations and what works

## Outline use in Asset Pricing

■ Missing exogeneity in estimating demand for assets (Koijen & Yogo!)

- 1 Large Market Participants in a Walrasian World
- 2 Fixed Shares
- 3 What are Idiosyncratic Shocks anyways?
- 4 So where could we find some good large idiosyncratic shocks?

# **Walrasian Assumption**

## Simultaneous Demand System

$$y_{it} = \phi_d p_t + \eta_t + u_{it}$$
$$q_t = \phi_s p_t + \varepsilon$$

- Equilibrium condition:  $q_t = \sum_i y_{it}$
- Equilibrium price:

$$p_t = \frac{\sum_i S_i u_{it} + \eta_t}{\phi_s - \phi_d} - \frac{\varepsilon_t}{\phi_s - \phi_d}$$

## **Endogeneity**

 $\blacksquare$  How can you estimate supply elasticity: since  $p_t \pm \varepsilon_t$ 

# **Idiosyncratic Assumption**

#### **Idiosyncratic shocks**

- Idiosyncratic demand of small player:  $u_{1t}$ 
  - Exogeneous:  $\mathbf{E}u_{1t}\varepsilon_t = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}u_{1t}\eta_t = 0$
  - ▶ But is it relevant?  $\mathbf{E}u_{1t}p_t \neq 0$ ?

#### Use a large player, say Walmart

- Then we have **relevance** because large
- But do we have exogeneity then? Yes if truly idiosyncratic and firm is a price taker

#### Implementation: Granular Residual

- How do get in a *systematic* way these large shocks
- Difference between "value"-weighted and equally-weighted demand:

$$\sum S_i y_{it} - \frac{1}{N} \sum y_{it} = \sum S_i u_{it} - \frac{1}{N} \sum u_{it} = u_{\Gamma,t}$$

# **Discussion of Exogeneity**

## Walrasian Assumption

- Each market participant is a price taker: *especially* large actors
- Is this a good assumption?
- When is large too large to stay in a non-strategic world
  - Revival of monopsony power literature

#### Tension between exogeneity and relevance

- Relevance pushes us towards taking shocks of large firms
- This clashes with the exogeneity

- 1 Large Market Participants in a Walrasian World
- 2 Fixed Shares
- 3 What are Idiosyncratic Shocks anyways?
- 4 So where could we find some good large idiosyncratic shocks?

# What are the shares in a demand model

Instrument built based on shares of output

$$z_t = \sum S_i y_{it} - \frac{1}{N} \sum y_{it} = \sum S_i u_{it} - \frac{1}{N} \sum u_{it} = u_{\Gamma,t}$$

- $\blacksquare$   $S_i$  are shares that gauge the importance of i in overall demand (or supply)
- Assumption in building instrument: shares are orthogonal to shocks and demand

#### Other examples

■ Similar assumptions on exogeneity of shift shares instrument: Bartik

9

# What are the shares in a demand model

## Simplest model of firm heterogeneity

- Heterogeneous firm with CES demand (Melitz-like)
- Sales of firm with productivity  $\varphi$ , have sales share  $S_{\varphi} \propto \varphi^{\sigma}$
- lacktriangle Lower bound on productivity,  $\varphi$ : the equilibrium quantity on the production side
- What is the granular residual?

$$\varphi_{\Gamma} = \int_{\underline{\varphi}}^{+\infty} S_{\varphi} \varphi dF(\varphi) - (1 - F(\underline{\varphi}))^{-1} \int_{\underline{\varphi}}^{+\infty} \varphi dF(\varphi)$$

- lacksquare  $\varphi_{\Gamma}$  depends on *equilibrium* productivity
- Assume Pareto distribution of productivity shocks,  $F(\varphi) = 1 \varphi^{-\gamma}$

$$\varphi_{\Gamma} = \underline{\varphi} \cdot \left( \frac{\gamma - \sigma}{\gamma - (\sigma - 1)} - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \right)$$

- 1 Large Market Participants in a Walrasian World
- 2 Fixed Shares
- 3 What are Idiosyncratic Shocks anyways?
- 4 So where could we find some good large idiosyncratic shocks?

# Finding idiosyncratic shocks

## Are idiosyncratic shocks large?

- Foster, Sarte & Watson: strong factor structure in productivity
- Idiosyncratic shocks are small
- How granular are actually economies

#### Rise of superstar

- Larger entities means better idiosyncratic shocks
- But also structural changes in economy

- 1 Large Market Participants in a Walrasian World
- 2 Fixed Shares
- 3 What are Idiosyncratic Shocks anyways?
- 4 So where could we find some good large idiosyncratic shocks?

# **Examples**

#### Siriwardane

■ Large players in CDS market

# Koijen & Yogo

■ Estimating demand system

# **Final Thoughts**

#### Results

- Great paper!
- Super creative ... and of course very complete

## Some shortcomings

- A few examples ...
- ... but lacks discussions of true limitations to make it accessible